Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	arv	10/534,780	HUANG ET AL.	
	ıı y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brendan O. Baggot	1638	
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Brendan O. Baggot</u> .		(3) <u>Kelvin Cole</u> .		
(2) <u>Cynthia Kozakiewicz</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 January 2008		Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:  All				
Claims discussed: All				
Prior art documents discussed:  Harper et al WO 2002/16655				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The Examiner asked for clarification on the invention	E GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)